

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/064,718	08/09/2002	Wyatt Price Hargett JR.	1700.80B	4227	
21176	7590 01/15/2003				
SUMMA & ALLAN, P.A. 11610 NORTH COMMUNITY HOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			EXAMINER		
			BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P		
CHARLOTT	E, NC 28277		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	\circ	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>				· (
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/064,718	HARGETT ET AL.	£13				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Christopher P Bruenjes	1772					
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified abover of the period for reply is specified abour Failure to reply within the set or extension and the period for reply within the set or extension and reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See Section 11.	IS COMMUNICATION nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1 g date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reve, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statuthan three months after the maili		reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1) Recognition to comm	unication(a) filed on							
· <u> </u>	unication(s) filed on ab⊠	——· This action is non-final.						
2a) This action is FINAL .	,_	vance except for formal ma	tters prosecution as to the	marite is				
		r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.		riients is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,36 and</u>	37 is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim	(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,36 and</u> 3	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· - · · ·	bject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is obj	· ·							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	•							
13) Acknowledgment is ma		an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)								
, , ,		nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies								
application f								
14) Acknowledgment is made	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is ma		rovisional application has b stic priority under 35 U.S.C.						
Attachment(s)		• •						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement	rawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/064,718

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,136,276.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the protective composite sleeve claimed in the claims 1-4 of Patent No. 6,136,276 includes everything claimed in claims 1-16 of the current application, except the current application includes the limitation that the yarns are contiguous. Yarns that are contiguous are only a more specific version of yarns that are

Art Unit: 1772

not woven, knitted or non-woven fabric as claimed in claim 1 of Patent no. 6,136,276. Therefore, it is obvious to one of skill in the art that the contiguous yarns claimed in claims 1-16 of the current application are encompassed by the claims 1-4, as wound yarn that is not woven, knitted, or non-woven fabric. There is no structural or patentable distinction between the two sets of claims. While the original patent was subject to a restriction requirement, a double-patenting rejection is not prohibited where the claims of the second patent are to the "same invention" as the first application or patent, MPEP 804.01(F).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-16 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s),

Application/Control Number: 10/064,718

Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 1 and 9, the term "contiguous" is not clearly supported by the original disclosure. It is the Examiner's position that "contiguous" refers to tightly wound adjacent layers such as a spool of thread, which is not illustrated in the drawings or described in the specification. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-11, and 36-37 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giraud (UPSN 5,556,673).

Giraud teaches a tube or sleeve material comprising a cylindrical glass structure member (A1) of a tube, which is the inner layer, transparent fibers or filaments (B), which is filamentary winding that includes contiguous filaments, and a cured transparent resin (C), which is a transparent structural

Application/Control Number: 10/064,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

medium, in which the fibers (B) and the glass members (A2) are embedded, the fibers (B) and the resin (C) with which they are coated being bonded to the glass member (A1) (col.7, 11.44-50). A neutral transparent film (F) is added as the outside liner around the resin (c) (col.7, ll.51-60). The neutral film (F) is neutral towards the resin and protects the surface from the effect of oxygen, therefore chemically inert. The recitation of "for microwave reaction vessel" refers only to the intended use and is therefore, given little patentable weight. Materials such as glass and many cured transparent resins are known to be chemically inert, such as to acids. Therefore, the broad recitation of "chemically inert" is met by the teachings of In claim 2, the recitation of "structural medium is a first polymer layer on one surface of said wound layer" does not clearly define any positive structure over that which is taught by Giraud.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 703-305-3440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,718

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CPB

January 6, 2003

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6